

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/802,235	03/17/2004	Claude Basso	RPS920030142US1	5249
47052	7590 07/26/2005		EXAM	INER
SAWYER LAW GROUP LLP			DESTA, ELIAS	
PO BOX 51418 PALO ALTO, CA 94303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2857	
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ARI
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/802,235	BASSO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Elias Desta	2857
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of th d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal ma	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-4, 6, 8, 10-19, 21, 23-34, 36-38, 44</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>5, 7, 9, 20, 22, 35, 39, 40 and 43</u> is/80 ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration. <u>41, 42, 44 and 45</u> is/are rejo /are objected to.	ected.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 17 March 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes older and accepted or b) \boxtimes older and accepted in abeys ection is required if the drawin	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a lie	ents have been received ents have been received in iority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/17/2005.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2857

Detailed Action

Drawing

- 1. The drawings are objected to because of the following minor informality:
 - ➤ Fig. 1: the drawing description in page 7, line 11 of the specification describes that "computer systems 14, 16 and 18 can communicate each other" and yet, the structure shown in the figure does not show such a connection. Further, the applicant's specification in page 7, lines 8-9 shows that the systems are actually communicating through the computer system 12. Hence applicant is required either to modify Fig. 1 or delete the phrase noted above.

Claim rejection – 35 U.S.C. 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. <u>Claims 1-4, 6, 8, 10-19, 21, 23-34, 36-38, 41, 42, 44 and 45</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Xu</u> (U.S. PAP 2004/0117682) in view of <u>Hou et al.</u> (IEEE Article, 'Incorporation of Optimal Timeouts into Distributed Real-Time Load Sharing').

Art Unit: 2857

In reference to claims 1, 6, 13, 15, 18, 23, 24, 29, 32, 36, 38, 41, 44 and 45 Xu teaches method for maintaining or examining timers for a computer system (see Xu, Figs. 2, 3B and page 1, paragraph 1). The method includes:

- > Writing a plurality of timer values (time values from the slave devices or processes to a global time value) in a global time stamp, similar to connection table, each timer value indicating a time for a particular timer (slave device) associated with one of a plurality of connections of the computer system (node), wherein the time stamp (connection table) includes a plurality of timers for each connection (slave device to the host), because the values are synchronized by the interval timer (see <a href="Multiple Rules and Rules
- ➤ Writing one of the timer values (time values from the monarch processor) to a global timer array for each connection, such that the global timer (global time value) array can be scanned to determine the status of the connection (see <u>Xu</u>, page 3, paragraphs 29-34).

However, <u>Xu</u> does not teach that the scanned global timer array is used to determine timeouts occur for active connections.

<u>Hou et al</u>. teaches a method of incorporating a timeout mechanism into load sharing for a distributed real time system, such as a computer network (see <u>Hou et al</u>., page 528, abstract).

Art Unit: 2857

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method for maintaining timers for a computer system as taught by <u>Xu</u> in order to determine when timeouts occur for active connections in a computer system because the determination of timeouts provides performance improvement while enabling fast detection of node failures (see <u>Hou et al.</u>, page 529, 1st column, paragraphs 4 and 5).

With regard to claims 2-4, 14, 17, 30, 33, 37 and 42: Xu in combination with Hou et al. further teaches that the synchronized multiprocessor computer platform employs the scheme where the system issues timestamps which accurately reflect the order of events and expiration dates of transaction dependent events (see Xu, page 3, paragraph 35, the last 6 lines), hence the process of issuing the time stamps necessarily includes a timer value written to the global timer array for each connection [see Xu, Fig. 2, Slave Processors (216) and Global Clock Counter (220)] which is associated with timer that will expire the earliest (the order of events) out of the timers for connection (order of events). As for timestamp provides information, such as old time for last count structure both for the local and global time structure are considered a time frame of reference when a timeout has occurred (see Xu, page 3, paragraphs 24-29).

With regard to claims 10, 11, 21 and 31, Xu in combination with Hou et al. further teaches that the time value written or synchronized to the global timer (see Xu, page 2, paragraph 22) where these connections are considered inherently

Art Unit: 2857

associated with a particular communication protocol or language otherwise the time stamp process would not have been doable or broadcasted to the appropriate node (see also <u>Hou et al.</u>, page 529, section II, 'The Proposed Algorithm').

With regard to claims 12, 16 and 34: Xu in combination with Hou et al. further teaches that at least one time value is written to the connection table or global timestamp when a timeout or network activity occurs (see Xu, Fig. 2 and Hu et al., page 529, paragraphs 4 and 5).

With regard to claims 8, 19 and 28: Xu in combination with Hou et al. further teaches that the system uses a global clock counter (see Xu, Fig. 2, section 220), hence it is considered inherent for the system to have an incrementing or decrementing value until a timeout has been reached.

With regard to claims 25 and 26: Xu in combination with Hou et al. further teaches that the best timeout periods are used to diagnose a silent node when it fails (see Hou et al., page 544, 2nd paragraph). Hence, the diagnosis step necessarily includes initiating a computer system (program) if the timeout occurs. The timeout occurs after a period of time when no communication occurs (idle time) on the network connection (node) associated with the idle timer.

Claim Objection

4. <u>Claims 5, 7, 9, 20, 22, 35, 39, 40 and 43</u> are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2857

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant disclosure.
 - Khanna (IEEE Article, 'Timer Management in X.25 Layer 2: an Interpretation') teaches a layer 2 protocols that describes three timers for error recovery and efficient data transfer.
 - Yates et al. (NSF Publication, 'On the Interaction Between an Operating System and Web Server') teaches how and why web server performance changes as the workload at the server vary.
 - Beraldi et al. (IEEE Article, 'A Caching Scheme for Routing in Mobile Ad Hoc Networks and Its Application to ZRP') teaches reactive protocols which employs some form of caching to reduce the number of route discoveries using associating timeout with each cache entry.
 - Prasad (U.S. Patent 6,381,214) teaches memory effect leaky bucket monitor for traffic management of asynchronous transfer mode data communications.
 - Bjorkamn (IEEE Article, 'Designing Hierarchical Hardware for Efficient Timer Handling') teaches a special-purpose protocol machines that are used to offload the host in order to speed up protocol execution.

Art Unit: 2857

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elias Desta whose telephone number is (571)-272-2214. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thu (8:30-7:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc S. Hoff can be reached on (571)-272-2216. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571)-272-1750.

Elias Desta Examiner Art Unit 2857

-ed

July 6, 2005

MARC S HOFF
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000